UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/530,414 | 04/07/2005 | Toyoaki Ishiwata | Q87310 | 5784 |
| 23373 SUGHRUE MIC | 7590 04/06/2007 ON PLIC | EXAMINER | | |
| | LVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | LISTVOYB, GREGORY | | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1711 | , |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 04/06/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer | 10/530,414 | ISHIWATA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Gregory Listvoyb | 1711 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | PATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN | ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | | |
| ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | ormal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/26/2005</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/530,414

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-2 and 4-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uhara et al (WO02/16475), herein Uhara.

Regarding Claims 1, 2, 5 and 14-15 Uhara discloses a polyamide film, manufactured from polyamic acid comprising pyromellitic dianhydride, 10-60% mol of 1,4 phenylenediamine and 40-90% mol of 3,4'-oxydianiline (page 4, line 30, Example 1), which can be used in metal wiring circuit board, LOC and TAB tapes (page 1, line 15).

Regarding Claims 4, 6 and 7, since the structures of Uhara's and Applicant's polyimide are identical, Uhara's resin inherently possesses tensile strength of 150Mpa or greater, imide group concentration between 5.7 and 6.2 eq/kg and imide group fraction 95% or greater (Tables 1-3).

Regarding Claim 8, 9, 10 and 13 Uhara's polyamide meet the limitations of equations (1) and (2) (Tables 1-3). Polyamic solution from the above components converted to a gel polyisomiide with acetic anhydride as a dehydrating agent (page 11, line 15 and page 12, line 5) with biaxially stretching (page 12, line 25) and following heating at 200-500C (page 12, line 30). Alternatively, the obtained partially imidized film can be immersed into isoimidating solution ((page 11, line 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/530,414

Art Unit: 1711

In reference to Claim 11, Uhara teaches two steps for solvent removal and

Page 3

imidization. In the first step (corresponding to Application's step 2), only low

temperature applied in order to prevent film blistering. In examiner's opinion, at this

stage only small part of polyamic acid converts to polyamide.

Regarding claim 12, since swelling ratio derives from a polymer structure and

solvent, Uhara's polyamide inherently has a swelling degree of 200-10000%.

Claims 1, 3 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Moriyama et al (JP publication 2001-081213), herein Moriyama.

Regarding Claims 1 and 3 Moriyama discloses a polyamide film, manufactured

from polyamic acid comprising pyromellitic dianhydride, 60-90% mol of 1,4 phenylene

diamine and 10-40% mol of another diamine, such as dioxy triphenyl diamine (abstract

and line 0017).

In reference to claim 10, Moriyama teaches a method for film formation, where a

partially cured polyamic acid dipped into a beta-picoline/acetic anhydride solution (line

0036).

Double Patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/530,414

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9 and 12 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4, 5 and 18-19 of copending Application No. 10/543813. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a polyimide, disclosed in claim 1 of Application examined can be used as adhesive sheet and a laminate disclosed in claims 4, 5 and 18-19 of Application No. 10/543813. The above articles are made from a polyaimide of the same structure as described in Application examined. Moreover, the primary application of the polyimide is a polymer film (see claims 2-8 of the examined Application).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Listvoyb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Listvoyb Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700